

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/809,786	03/26/2004	Tomohide Mori	325772035100	7138
75	90 07/12/2005		EXAMINER	
Barry E. Bretschneider			VARGAS, DIXOMARA	
Morrison & Foe Suite 300	erster LLP	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
1650 Tysons Boulevard			2859	
MCLean, VA	22102		DATE MAILED: 07/12/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/809,786	MORI ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Dixomara Vargas	2859				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication Reply	tion appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence addre)SS			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 3' SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) do period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuto are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reation. 1435, a reply within the statutory minimum of thir ry period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON by statute, cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this comm BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.			
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed of	on					
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)	This action is non-final.	•				
3)□	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
	closed in accordance with the practice i	under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.L	J. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the appl						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are v	vithdrawn from consideration.					
· —	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
-	Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> are subject to restriction a	and/or alaction requirement					
لكاره	Claim(s) 1-10 are subject to restriction a	and/or election requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
	The specification is objected to by the E						
10)	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection						
11)[]	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the						
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner, Note the attached	J Office Action or form PTO-	152.			
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		119(a)-(d) or (f).				
	1. Certified copies of the priority doc						
	2. Certified copies of the priority doc						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the capital copies of the cap		received in this National Sta	ige			
* 5	application from the International See the attached detailed Office action fo		received				
	22 2.0 diagnos dotalios office action to	a distrocture certified copies flot	icosiveu.				
Attachmen	t(s)						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview S	Summary (PTO-413)				
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-s nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO		s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-15;	2)			
	No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	~ ,			

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:
 - a. Embodiment I, as seen on Figure 2.
 - b. Embodiment II, as seen on Figure 6.
 - c. Embodiment III, as seen on Figure 7.
 - d. Embodiment IV, as seen on Figure 8.
 - e. Embodiment V, as seen on Figure 9.
 - f. Embodiment VI, as seen on Figure 10.
 - g. Embodiment VII, as seen on Figure 11.
 - h. Embodiment VIII, as seen on Figure 12.
 - i. Embodiment IX, as seen on Figure 22.
 - j. Embodiment X, as seen on Figure 26.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is

Application/Control Number: 10/809,786

Art Unit: 2859

allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

2. A telephone call was made to Barry E. Bretschneider on July 2, 2005 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

3. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim

Art Unit: 2859

remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dixomara Vargas whose telephone number is (571) 272-2252. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday from 8:00 am. to 4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Diego Gutierrez can be reached on (571) 272-2245. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Dixomara Vargas

Art Unit 2859 June 29, 2005 Diego Gutierrez

Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 2800